ANDROFLOR®: a brief guide to results interpretation



ANDROFLOR[®]: a brief guide to results interpretation

- Androflor[®] is a comprehensive real-time PCR-based assay for the assessment of the state of the male urogenital tract microbiota and detection of obligate pathogens causative agents of sexually transmitted infections (STIs).
- The Androflor[®] test has no analogues in the world.
- Androflor[®] allows rapid* quantitative determination of normal and opportunistic microbiota components, including anaerobic microorganisms that are difficult to culture, as well as detection of obligate pathogens *Chlamydia trachomatis, Mycoplasma genitalium, Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Trichomonas vaginalis.*

This guide discusses the options for choosing a test from the Androflor[®] product line, the specifics of biomaterial sampling and interpretation of the results.

CONTENT

CHOOSING A TEST FROM THE ANDROFLOR® PRODUCT LINE	3
BIOMATERIAL SAMPLING	7
Specifics of biomaterial sampling for Androflor® assay	7
Biomaterial storage and transportation conditions	10
Information for laboratories on ejaculate testing	10
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	11
Guidance note for the result form interpretation	12
Control indicators of the biomaterial sampling quality	14
Microbiome composition of the male urogenital tract	15
Obligate pathogens	16
Scheme of the results interpretation	16
EXAMPLES OF RESULTS FORM	18
1. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal	18
What is considered as a norm for the male urogenital tract?	18
If TBM is low, should the collection of biomaterial be repeated?	21
Why TBM might be missing?	22
Why is there no color indication on the results form?	25
2. The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal	26
Absolute predominance of one of the groups of normal microbiota (microflora)	26
The balance of normal and opportunistic microbiota is moderately disturbed	27
The balance of normal and opportunistic microbiota is severely disturbed	31
Significant decrease/absence of normal microbiota is not proportional	_ /
to the absence/slight increase of opportunistic microbiota	34
STIs DNA is detected (obligate pathogens are present)	36
3. Cases when no conclusion is issued	37
Insufficient amount of biomaterial: TBM and Human DNA	
are both not detected or below threshold	57
Exceeding the threshold values of transient microbiota	38
Will obligate pathogens be detected, if human DNA and TBM are not detected?	40

CHOOSING A TEST FROM THE ANDROFLOR® PRODUCT LINE

The Androflor[®] line includes the Androflor[®] and Androflor[®] Screen assays. Both assays are used to detect the obligate pathogens *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Trichomonas vaginalis* and to quantify components of the normal and opportunistic microbiota (bacteria and yeast fungi of the genus *Candida*).

The main difference between the assays is the profile of the determined opportunistic microorganisms (OM) and, therefore, the indications for prescribing the tests.

Androflor® Screen is a shortened version of the assay, which is intended for screening and differential diagnosis of acute forms of urogenital tract diseases.

Androflor[®] is a detailed assay for accessing of microbiome structure, which detects a wide range of opportunistic microorganisms. This assay is recommended to prescribe for patients with chronic forms of diseases or complaints of ineffective treatment. Also, it can help to determine the possible impact of infections on reproductive function while preparing for IVF.

Androflor [®] Screen	Androflor®
Staphylococcus spp. Streptococcus spp. Corynebacterium spp.	Staphylococcus spp. Streptococcus spp. Corynebacterium spp.
Lactobacillus spp.	Lactobacillus spp.
Gardnerella vaginalis Ureaplasma urealyticum Ureaplasma parvum Mycoplasma hominis Enterobacteriaceae / Enterococcus spp. Candida spp.	Gardnerella vaginalis Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp. Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp. Ureaplasma urealyticum Ureaplasma parvum Mycoplasma hominis Atopobium cluster Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp. Anaerococcus spp. Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp. Eubacterium spp. Haemophilus spp. Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp. Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. Candida spp.
Mycoplasma genitalium Trichomonas vaginalis Neisseria gonorrhoeae Chlamydia trachomatis	Mycoplasma genitalium Trichomonas vaginalis Neisseria gonorrhoeae Chlamydia trachomatis

Depending on the specifics of the clinical situation and the objectives of the examination, other PCR tests may be prescribed in addition to the Androflor® test at the discretion of the physician, for example, detection of *HSV1/HSV2/CMV* herpesviruses (HSV1, HSV2, CMV Multiplex) or human papillomaviruses (HPV-Quant-21).

NB! Please consult with your medical diagnostic laboratory on the possibility of conducting multiple PCR tests using a single biomaterial sample.

For differential diagnostics of chronic recurrent diseases in a couple and searching for possible causes of infertility, it is advisable to carry out a comprehensive quantitative assessment of reproductive tract microbiota for both partners using Androflor[®] and Femoflor[®] line assays.

Example of a couple examination using the Androflor[®] and Femoflor[®]16 assays

A male patient presented with complains on pain in the area of the glans of the penis and redness of the foreskin. His female partner had itching and genital irritation after sexual intercourse.

A sample of urethral scrape obtained from the male was examined using the Androflor[®] test system. A vaginal scrape sample from the female was examined using the Femoflor[®]16 test system.

Urogenital microbiome composition test Androflor®

Date Number of tube Patient name Sex Age Organization Clinician name Comments

logotype

Information about laboratory

Sample ID:

		Res	sult			
Nº	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg(X/TBM)			% of TBM
	Human DNA	10 5,7		10	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 4,5				
	Transit microorganisms				1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected			1	
	Commensals				i.	
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected			1	
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected		1 '	I	
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,1	-1,4 (3-5%)		1	
	Commensals, sum	10 3,1	-1,4 (3-5%)		1	
	BV-associated opportunistic microorg	ganisms			1	
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected				
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	10 ^{3,6}	-0,9 (11-14%)	\square		
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected				
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected				
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected			1	
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected			1	
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected				
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	10 3,6	-0,9 (11-14%)		I	
	Opportunistic anaerobes				1	
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	10 4,3	-0,2 (54-73%)	— ,		
14	Anaerococcus spp.	10 ^{3,8}	-0,7 (17-23%)	\square	1	
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	10 3,4	-1,1 (7-9%)	Þ.		
16	Eubacterium spp.	10 4,3	-0,2 (54-73%)]	
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 47	0,2 (85-100%)			
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haemop	hilus spp.			1	
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected			1	
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ra	alstonia spp. / Burkholde	eria spp.		i.	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae s	pp. / Enterococcus spp.			I	
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	10 3,3	-1,2 (5-7%)		1	
	Yeast-like fungi				1	
20	Candida spp. *	10 3,2			1	
	Sexually transmitted infection	s			i i	
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected				
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected			I	
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected			I.	
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected				
* Quar	titative Analysis Lg(X) $\ \ ^{**}$ Qualitative Analysis $\ \ ^{***}$ Below threshold			4	+ 5 logarith	6 7 8 Lg

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

DETECTED: Candida spp.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: opportunistic bacteria are detected.

Urogenital microbiome composition test Femoflor®16

Date Number of tube Patient name Sex Age Organization Clinician name Comments

logotype

Information about laboratory

Sample ID:

			Res	sult					
N≌	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)					% of TMD
	Sample intake control	10 6,8				0,1	1	10	100
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 77							
	NORMAL MICROFLORA					1		1	1
2	Lactobacillus spp.	10 7,3		-0,3 (39-53%)			-]
	FACULTATIVE ANAEROBIC MICROORGA	NISMS				i.			i l
3	Enterobacteriaceae	not detected							
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected				I		I	
5	Staphylococcus spp.	10 3,3		-4,3 (<0,1%)		1		- 1	1
	OBLIGATE ANAEROBIC MICROORGAN	IISMS				1			
6	Gardnerella vaginalis + Prevotella bivia + Porphyromonas spp.	10 72		-0,4 (31-42%)					
7	Eubacterium spp.	10 6.8		-0,8 (12-17%)					.
8	Sneathia spp. + Leptotrichia spp. + Fusobacterium spp.	not detected				I		1	1
9	Megasphaera spp. + Veillonella spp. + Dialister spp.	10 6.0		-1,6 (2,0-2,7%)					
10	Lachnobacterium spp. + Clostridium spp.	not detected				1		1	1
11	Mobiluncus spp. + Corynebacterium spp.	not detected				1		1	
12	Peptostreptococcus spp.	not detected							- i - I
13	Atopobium vaginae	not detected				1		1	·
	YEAST-LIKE FUNGI							Ι	
14	Candida spp. *	10 5.6						1	1
	MYCOPLASMAS	r				1		1	
15	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected						i.	i l
16	Ureaplasma (urealyticum + parvum) *	not detected	<u> </u>						
	PATHOGENIC MICROORGANISMS	S	_			I		I	1
17	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected					4		
* Quar	titative Analysis Lg(X) $$ ** Qualitative Analysis $$ *** Below threshold				1	i 5 log	erithr	3 7 nic scal	8 Lg
Con MO[clusion: DERATE ANAEROBIC DYSBIOSIS								

Pathogenic microorganisms were not detected in both partners.

Both patients had a decrease in the amount of normal microbiota with an increase in the number of anaerobic opportunistic microorganisms. In the female patient *Candida spp.* were present in clinically significant amounts.

Based on the results of Androflor[®] and Femoflor[®]16, effective etiotropic therapy was prescribed and carried out in both partners.

BIOMATERIAL SAMPLING

To obtain correct real-time PCR results, the pre-analytical stage is of great importance: preparation of a patient for the study, selection of biomaterial type and quality of biomaterial collection, conditions of sample storage and transportation to the laboratory.

The choice of biomaterial for Androflor[®] and Androflor[®] Screen assays depends on the diagnostic goals (Table 1).

It is important to take into account **the special rules of preparation for the study:**

- Sexual abstinence or use of barrier contraception for 3 days before the study is recommended to minimize the risk of contamination of the biomaterial with the microbiota of a sexual partner.
- The use of antiseptics, including antibacterial soaps, should be avoided.

Prescription of the Androflor[®] study while taking antibiotics is possible (for example, to monitor therapy), but it should be taken into account that antibiotic therapy can affect the results.

Specifics of biomaterial sampling for Androflor[®] assay

The study of microorganisms' DNA by real-time PCR is a direct laboratory method, so the biomaterial should be obtained from localizations as close as possible to the suspected focus of the infectious process.

 Table 1: recommended biomaterial and technique of its sampling for study by

 Androflor® assay

BIOMATERIAL	PURPOSE OF DIAGNOSTICS	SPECIFICS OF PREPARATION	RULES OF SAMPLING
Epithelial scrapes from the glans of the penis (penis balanus), foreskin, preputial sac, urethra	Identification of etiology of acute and chronic infectious- inflammatory processes of lower urogenital tract (urethritis, balanoposthitis)	A patient is recommended to avoid urinating within 1.5-2 hours prior to sampling procedure. It is important to take the maximum amount of epithelial cells and the minimum amount of mucus	The scraping is performed with a sterile, disposable urogenital swab. After biomaterial taking, the swab should be put into a 1.5 ml plastic tube with transport medium. Rinse the swab thoroughly, then remove the swab from solution, press it to the wall of tube and squeeze the rest of the liquid. A swab shouldn't be broken off or left in the tube. Features of the urethral sampling The external urethral orifice must be treated with a swab moistened with sterile physiological saline solution just prior to the sampling procedure. In the case of purulent discharge, the sample must be taken 15-20 minutes after urinating. Carefully insert the swab into the urethra to a depth up to 5 cm, then carefully rotate to the external urethral orifice.
Urine	Identification of the etiology of acute inflammatory processes (STIs only) associated with pronounced pain on insertion of a swab into the urethra	In the absence of acute inflammation, the number of human cells in a sample may be very low and the Human DNA indicator value can be below the threshold	The first portion of morning urine in the minimum possible amount of 10–15 ml is collected into a special dry sterile container with a volume of up to 60 ml, equipped with a hermetically screw-cap
	Diagnosis of urinary infections	It is more preferabl assay, as it include urinary tract infectio	e to prescribe the BacScreen OM s microorganisms associated with ns
Ejaculate	Diagnosis of epididymitis, prostatitis, STIs with asymptomatic course, male infertility	Ejaculate should not be obtained by interrupted sexual intercourse (as an admixture of transient microbiota is possible) or from a condom (as lubricant components inhibit PCR)	Ejaculate is collected by a patient only by manual masturbation into a sterile container of up to 60 ml and can be obtained in the laboratory or at home. The collected biomaterial should be stored in the refrigerator for no more than 24 hours or frozen

BIOMATERIAL	PURPOSE OF DIAGNOSTICS	SPECIFICS OF PREPARATION	RULES OF SAMPLING
Prostatic fluid	Diagnosis of epididymitis, prostatitis, STIs with asymptomatic course, male infertility	Sexual abstinence is recommended for 3 days before the examination. If acute prostatitis is suspected, prostate massage is strictly prohibited!	The glans of the penis (penis balanus) is treated with a sterile cotton swab moistened with saline solution. Prostatic fluid is collected after preliminary prostate massage through the rectum. The massage is performed by a doctor through a vigorous pressing movement from the base to the top of the gland. The released prostatic fluid in the form of a free-flowing drop (0.5-1.0 ml) is collected in a disposable sterile dry tube with a volume of 2 ml or in a container with a volume of up to 60 ml
Residual urine after prostate massage	Diagnosis of epididymitis, prostatitis, STIs with asymptomatic course, male infertility	Sexual abstinence is recommended for 3 days before the examination. If acute prostatitis is suspected, prostate massage is strictly prohibited!	A patient should urinate in a toilet, leaving some urine in the bladder. Before collecting urine, the glans of the penis is treated with a sterile cotton swab moistened with saline solution. After this, the patient is subjected to prostate massage for 1-3 minutes. The intensity of massage depends on the density of the prostate: if the prostate is soft, gentle pressure is applied, if the prostate is dense, the intensity of pressure should be increased. After prostate massage, the patient collects the first 10-15 ml of urine into a sterile plastic container with a volume of up to 60 ml
Biopsy samples from prostatic tissues	Diagnosis of epididymitis, prostatitis, STIs with asymptomatic course, male infertility		Biopsy sample is placed in a sterile tube with sterile saline solution or water (in volume no more than 0.5 ml), or in an empty sterile tube

Biomaterial storage and transportation conditions



Biomaterial for PCR examination can be stored at a temperature from 2 °C to 8 °C for 24 hours, so the sample should be transferred to the laboratory within a day or else frozen.

Frozen material can be stored at temperatures from -18 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ to -22 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for no more than one month.

INFORMATION FOR LABORATORIES ON EJACULATE TESTING

When extracting DNA from ejaculate, it is recommended to use 100 µl of sample.

For DNA extraction from ejaculate it is recommended to use the PREP-MB MAX kit.

It is also possible to perform DNA extraction using PREP-NA PLUS and PREP-GS PLUS kits.



The Androflor[®] assay uses genome-equivalent (GE)/sample as a unit of measurement. The GE is the amount of genetic material corresponding to one genome of a microorganism. This unit of measurement of the PCR method is similar to the CFU in a microbiological culture. If it is necessary to establish the ratios of microorganisms in the microbiota, relative values, or proportions, are used.

In the Androflor[®] assay line, indicator values are represented in two formats: absolute and relative.

- Absolute values are expressed in genome-equivalents (GE/sample) and are proportional to the urogenital biotope microbial load for each detected microorganism.
- Relative values are expressed in % and represent the proportion of each taxon in the total bacterial mass. Values are presented as ranges to account for method inaccuracy. PCR is performed independently for each of the microorganisms, including the TBM (total bacterial mass), so the sum of the relative values will not be necessarily equal to 100%, thus it is not correct to sum the relative values.

Guidance note for the result form interpretation

The diagrams below show the handouts for interpreting the Androflor $\ensuremath{^{@}}$ and Androflor $\ensuremath{^{@}}$ Screen result forms.

	Ar	ndroflor®			
			Re	esult	
	N≌	Test title 7	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)	% of TMD
		Human DNA	10 4.8		10 100
		Total Bacterial Mass	10 5,1		
	_	Transit microorganisms			
2	L 2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected		
		Commensals			
	3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 41 8	3 -2,1 (0,7-1,0%)	
	4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected	<u> </u>	
	5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected		
		Commensals, sum	. 10 4/	-2,1 (0,/-1,0%)	
		BV-associated opportunistic microory	ganisms		
	7	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected	0.7 (10. 25%)	
		Megasphaera spp. / Veilionella spp. / Dialister spp.	IU **	-0,7 (19-25%)	
		Sneatha spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Pusobacterium spp.	not detected		
	10	Ureaplasma papuum *	not detected		
	11	Myconlasma hominis *	not detected		
	12	Atopobium cluster	not detected		
<>		BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms sum	10 45	-0.7 (19-25%)	
		Opportunistic anaerobes	10	0,7 (10 2070)	
	13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected		
	14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected		
	15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected		
	16	Eubacterium spp.	10 3,1	-0,2 (0,8-1,1%)	
		Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 3,1	-0,2 (0,8-1,1%)	
		Opportunistic microorganisms Haemop	hilus spp.		
	17	Haemophilus spp.	10 44	-0,8 (14-19%)	
		Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ra	alstonia spp. / Burkhold	leria spp.	
	18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected		
		Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae s	pp. / Enterococcus spp		
	L 19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	10 5.4	0,2 (85-100%)	
		Yeast-like fungi		1	
4		Candida spp	not detected 🗆		
	21	Sexually transmitted infection	S .	1	
	21	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected		
5	23	Neieseria gaparrhaeae **	not detected		
	20	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected		
	° Qua	ntitative Analysis Lg(X) Qualitative Analysis Below threshold			4 0 0 / OLY logarithmic scale
	Con	iciusion:			
	STIS	s DNA is not detected.			
6	Can	dida spp. DNA is not detected.			
	The	bastarial migrahisma composition is apparent	the holonee of n	ormal and opport	unistia miaraflara is
	1116	vacienai microvione composition is abnormal: 1		iormar and opport	
	_ sev	erely disturbed (with Enterobacteriaceae spp. / E	nterococcus sp	p. predominance)	

			Ц		Res	sult				
1	N≌	Test title	7	Quantitative		Relative La (X/TBM)				%
		Human DNA		10 4.9		-3 (-7)		10	100	
	1	Total Bacterial Mass		10 4,8						
		Transit microorganisms								
►∟∟	2	Lactobacillus spp.		10 3,5		-1,2 (5-7%)			1	
	7	Commensals	-	not dotootod					i.	
	3	Staphylococcus spp.		10 35		12 [5.7%]		H.		
	5	Corvnebacterium son		10 4,8	-L°	-0.1 (85-100%)		FL-	-	
		Commensals, sum		10 4/8		-0,1 (85-100%)				
		BV-associated opportunistic microo	irgan	isms						
	6	Gardnerella vaginalis		not detected					1	
	7	Ureaplasma urealyticum *		not detected						
	8	Ureaplasma parvum *		not detected					1	
	y	Mycopiasma nominis "	-	not detected						
		By-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	000	/ Entergageour	000					
	10	Enterobacteriaceae son. / Enterococcus son	spp.	10 ^{3,1}	shh	-16 (21-2.9%)			1	
	10	Yeast-like fungi		10		1,0 (2,1 2,070)				
-►[-	11	Candida spp. *		below TH ***					1	
		Sexually transmitted infection	ins							
	12	Mycoplasma genitalium **		not detected					1	
	13	Trichomonas vaginalis **		not detected					1	
ΗE	14	Neisseria gonorrhoeae		not detected						
	10	uniamydia trachomatis		not detected				<u> </u>		
	ne t	pacterial microbiome composition is normal.								
Estim	ati nu	on of biomaterial quantity mber of human cells mber of hacteria	5	Assess (STIs)	sm	ent of ob	oligat	te pa	atho	gens
•			6	Labora	ato	ry conclu	usior	٦		
ASSes:	511		_	Abcolu	.+~	values	the e		bor	of
IVIdir	ker	ital paiarabiata	7	ADSOIL	lie	values -	nte/e	nun	iber	OI
urog	jen			Deleti	ne.	equivale	111.5/5	ann	ne tia	
It is c	call	led «Transit microorganisms»		Relativ	ve	values – 1	the p	prop	ortio	n or
in th	e r	eport form		micro	org	anısms g	group	o in T	IMD	or F
sses	sm	nent of microbiota structure	8	Percer	nta	ge of a r	nicro	org	anis	m in
Amc	bur	nt of normal microbiota		a samj	ple	, taking i	nto a	acco	unti	the
Num	hbe	er of opportunistic		measu	Irei	ment err	or			
micr	00	rganisms								
lsses	sm	nent of yeast fungi								
The va	alu	e in the «Relative Lg» column turr	าร	negativ	e if	the mic	roor	gani	sm's	s DN/
innou	ΠĽ									
umn	nir	ng the percentages in the «Relativ	еL	.g» colu	mr	n does no	ot giv	ve al	n	
nform	nat	tive result. The sum of the percent	ag	jes may	nc	ot be equ	ial to	000	% d	ue to

Control indicators of the biomaterial sampling quality

Parameter «Human DNA» shows the amount of human genomic DNA in a sample. Human DNA value can vary in different types of biomaterial, for example, in urine it can be very low (may be as a norm or in case of chronic forms of prostatitis) or high (in acute urethritis).

Total Bacterial Mass (TBM) is the total number of bacteria in a sample. This indicator is used to assess the structure of the microbiome by determining the proportion of specific microorganisms and groups of microorganisms in the number of all bacteria.

It should be taken into account that *Candida spp.* (yeast fungi) and *Trichomonas vaginalis* (protozoan, a unicellular parasite) are not bacteria and thus are not included in TBM.

Total Microorganisms Detected (TMD) is a mathematically calculated parameter that is used to estimate the ratios of microorganism groups in a sample.

- **NB!** If TBM and Human DNA indicators are both below the threshold values in the results, no further analysis of the microbiome composition is performed, as there is no verification of correct biomaterial sampling. In this case the results of the assay can be interpreted only by an attending physician, based on the specifics of a clinical situation. At the same time, correct results will be obtained for the detection of obligate pathogens and *Candida* fungi, which will be reported in the conclusion. In these cases, a repeated biomaterial sampling and retesting can be recommended, with the requirement of compliance with the rules of patient preparation for the study.
- If **at least one** of TBM and Human DNA indicators is above the threshold, the automatic analysis of the microbiome composition is performed.

Examples of result forms interpretation are provided on page 18.

Transit microorganisms (Lactobacillus spp.)

The presence of *Lactobacillus spp.* and their proportion in TBM are assessed as a marker of presence of a female partner's microbiota in a male patient's urogenital tract.

Lactobacillus spp. can be detected within several days after an unprotected sexual intercourse when analyzing biomaterial of a male patient using Androflor[®] and Androflor[®] Screen test systems.

If a relative amount of *Lactobacillus spp.* in a sample is above the threshold value (10% of TBM), the microbiome composition is not analyzed in the Androflor[®] tests. The reason is that actual composition of male microbiota may be distorted by presence of significant amounts of female microbiota. This factor is especially important in the diagnosis of chronic diseases of urogenital tract. The automatic conclusion for these cases issues an indication of improper patient preparation for the examination and recommends to repeat biomaterial sampling under the condition of protected sexual contacts or sexual abstinence within 3 days.

It is worth noting that there are patients in clinical practice who have a significant amount of *Lactobacillus spp.* in their ejaculate, even if preparation for the examination is carried out properly. The presence of *Lactobacillus spp.* in ejaculate may serve as an additional marker of hormonal disturbance in men, even in those with normal spermogram indicators [1].

It should also be taken into account that detected opportunistic microorganisms, including those associated with bacterial vaginosis, may be a part of a female partner's microbiota and cause acute inflammation of the lower urogenital tract in a man.

Microbiome composition of the male urogenital tract

Normal microbiota (commensals)

Normal microbiota of male urogenital tract is represented by bacteria of the genera *Staphylococcus, Streptococcus* and *Corynebacterium*. Normally all the three groups should together constitute a major part of TBM. At the same time, predominance of only one group in simultaneously with significant TBM value is not considered as a variant of norm and indicates a disturbance in the bacterial microbiome composition.

Opportunistic microorganisms (OM)

Opportunistic microorganisms can be categorized into several groups:

- Opportunistic microorganisms associated with bacterial vaginosis (BV)
- Opportunistic anaerobes
- OM Haemophilus spp.
- OM Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.
- OM Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.

BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms include some obligate anaerobes and genital mycoplasmas, which are common causative agents of bacterial vaginosis in women, and may cause inflammation in the male urogenital tract as well.

^[1] Pochernikov DG, Postovoytenko NT, Getman VV, Galkina IS. Diagnostic significance of Lactobacillus spp. identification in ejaculate. Bulletin of RSMU, 2020, 3. DOI: 10.24075/vrgmu.2020.039

The results for opportunistic mycoplasmas (*Ureaplasma urealyticum, Ureaplasma parvum, Mycoplasma hominis*) and yeast fungi of the genus *Candida* are given only in absolute values in the result form. Relative assessment is not carried out, as their clinical significance is determined by their quantity in a sample, regardless of their proportion in TBM.

For other representatives of opportunistic microorganisms, the proportion in TBM is determined.

- NB! Candida spp. yeast fungi are not considered as a part of bacterial
- microbiome composition (that is, the indicator «Candida spp.» is not a component of TBM).

Obligate pathogens

Obligate pathogens (*Mycoplasma genitalium, Trichomonas vaginalis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Chlamydia trachomatis*) are causative agents of STIs. Their presence in any quantity is considered a pathology, so the results of their detection are reported in a qualitative format. The results of obligate pathogens detection do not depend on other test values, including control indicators (TBM, Human DNA, transit microorganisms).

Scheme of the result form interpretation



Human DNA is the amount of human DNA (cells) in a biomaterial sample. This indicator is determined by PCR results.



TBM is the total number of all bacteria in a biomaterial sample. This indicator is determined by PCR results.



TMD is the sum of the quantities of all microorganisms specifically detected by the Androflor® or Androflor® Screen test. This indicator is calculated mathematically by the software. It may not be equal to the TBM.

The 1st step — assessment of the obligate pathogens and Candida spp. presence These microorganisms are reported in the conclusion even in case of insufficient amount of biomaterial Obligate pathogens Not detected DETECTED Candida spp. below TH* 10³-10⁴ >104 The 2nd step — control of biomaterial compliance with the analysis requirements If both TBM and Human DNA are below TH, 1. Human DNA >103 an insufficient amount of biomaterial is reported in the conclusion >104 2. TBM Mathematical inaccuracies are possible ! TBM 10⁴-10⁵ in samples with these low values 3. Lactobacillus spp. Not detected 10ⁿ >10%

The $\mathbf{3}^{\rm rd}$ step — assessment of the balance between normal and opportunistic microbiota

	\checkmark	Predominance of normal microbiota
The bacterial microbiome	\checkmark	Normal microbiota is represented by 2 or more genera at TBM >10 ⁵
composition is normal	\checkmark	Normal microbiota is represented by a single genus at TBM <10 ⁵
	\checkmark	Low bacterial load in a sample
	×	The proportion of normal microbiota is decreased
The bacterial microbiome	×	Predominance of opportunistic microorganisms
composition is abnormal	×	Normal microbiota is represented by a single genus at TBM >10 ⁵

The 4th step — generation of an automatic conclusion

- Presence of obligate pathogens
- Presence of Candida spp.
- Assessment of the bacterial microbiome structure with the determination of a predominant group of microorganisms

* below TH (below threshold value) - below the level of significance

1. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal

WHAT IS CONSIDERED AS A NORM FOR THE MALE UROGENITAL TRACT?

The dominance of normal microbiota (commensals) in a sample in the absence of pathogens is considered as a norm. A minor content of opportunistic microorganisms is acceptable.

At the same time, normal microbiota should be represented by more than one group of microorganisms (Example 1). If only one representative of the normal microbiota is observed in a sample with a high level of bacterial load (TBM), it is concluded that the microbiome composition is disturbed (Example 7).

Specifics of norm interpretation in case of low bacterial load in the sample (TBM <10⁵)

- In some cases, when human DNA content is sufficient for analysis (at «Human DNA» value above threshold values), no microorganisms are detected in a sample. This is especially common in ejaculate examination. These results are considered as a norm (Examples 3-5).
- In case of low TBM, presence of only one group of normal microbiota is also interpreted as a variant of norm (Example 6).
- The presence of *Candida spp*. is reported separately and is not considered as part of the bacterial microbiome composition. Clinical interpretation of the result of *Candida spp*. detection is made by an attending physician (Example 2).

Example	1. Androflor®	assay
---------	---------------	-------

		R	esult			
Nº	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)		% of	TMD
	Human DNA	10 4,6	1	10 10	00	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 4.8	i			
	Transit microorganisms					
2	Lactobacillus spp.	10 3,1	-1,5 [3-4%]	1 1		
	Commensals					
3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 4,8	0,2 (85-100%)]	
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected				
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 4,5	-0,1 (68-91%)			
	Commensals, sum	10 5,0	0,4 (85-100%)			
	BV-associated opportunistic microor	ganisms				
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected				
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected				
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected				
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected				
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected				
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected				
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected				
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected				
	Opportunistic anaerobes					
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected				
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected				
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected				
16	Eubacterium spp.	10 3,4	-1,2 (5-7%)			
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 3,4	-1,2 (5-7%)			
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haemop	ohilus spp.				
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa / R	alstonia spp. / Burkholo	deria spp.			
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae s	pp. / Enterococcus spp).			
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected				
	Yeast-like fungi					
20	Candida spp. *	below TH ***]			
	Sexually transmitted infection	s				
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected]			
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected				
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected				
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected				
* Quar	titative Analysis Lg(X) $\ \ \ ^{**}$ Qualitative Analysis $\ \ \ ^{***}$ Below threshold			4	5 6 7 8 logarithmic scale	Ĺg

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is normal.

Example 2. Androflor[®] Screen assay Result N⁰ Test title Relative Quantitative % of TBM Lg (X/TBM) 10 100 Human DNA 10 5,0 1 Total Bacterial Mass 10 4,8 Transit microorganisms 2 Lactobacillus spp. not detected Commensals 10 47 3 Staphylococcus spp -0,1 (68-91%) 4 not detected Streptococcus spp 10 3,2 -1,6 (2,1-2,9%) 5 Corynebacterium spp. 10 47 Commensals, sum -0,1 (70-94%) BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms 6 Gardnerella vaginalis not detected Ureaplasma urealyticum * not detected 8 below TH *** Ureaplasma parvum * 9 Mycoplasma hominis * not detected BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum not detected Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. 10 Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp not detected Yeast-like fungi 11 Candida spp. * 10 3,4 Sexually transmitted infections 12 Mycoplasma genitalium ** not detected 13 Trichomonas vaginalis ** not detected Neisseria gonorrhoeae ** 14 not detected Chlamydia trachomatis ** 15 not detected * Quantitative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold 4 5 6 7 8 Lg logarithmic scale

Conclusion:

STIS DNA is not detected. DETECTED: Candida spp. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal.

IF TBM IS LOW, SHOULD THE COLLECTION OF BIOMATERIAL BE REPEATED?

Low TBM value on passing the biomaterial sampling control (with Human DNA value above the threshold) is interpreted as a variant of norm (Example 3). Repeated biomaterial sampling is not required.

			Resu	lt	
Nº	Test title	Quantitative	e	Relative Lg (X/TBM)	% of TE
	Human DNA	10 54			
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 33			
	Transit microorga	nisms		1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected			
	Commensals	3			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected			
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected			
5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected			
	Commensals, sum	not detected			
	BV-associated opportunistic	microorganisms			
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected			
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected			
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected			
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected			
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected			
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected			
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected			
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected			
	Opportunistic anae	erobes			
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected			
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected			
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected			
16	Fubacterium son.	not detected			
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected			
	Opportunistic microorganisms	Haemophilus spp.			
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected			
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aerugi	nosa / Ralstonia son. / Bu	rkholderi	a spp.	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected			
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacter	iaceae spp. / Enterococcu	is spp.		
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected			
	Yeast-like fun	ai			
20	Candida son *	below TH ***			
	Sexually transmitted i	infections			
21	Myconlasma genitalium **	not detected			
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected			
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected		I	
2/1	Chlomydia trachamatic **	not dotooted			

Jantitative Analysis Lg(X) Qualitative Analysis

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected. Candida spp. is below threshold. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal. logarithmic scale

WHY TBM MIGHT BE MISSING?

Absence of TBM with presence of Human DNA is not an indication of an insufficient amount of biomaterial. This may be a variant of norm for some types of biomaterial.

In examples 4 and 5, the tested biomaterial was ejaculate. The Androflor[®] results detected Human DNA, but no TBM or any bacteria (*Candida spp.* are yeast fungi and are not included in TBM). In these cases, biomaterial was taken correctly (as Human DNA indicator is above the threshold), however, ejaculate is characterized by low bacterial load. This represents a variant of norm.

Example	4. Androflor®	assay
---------	---------------	-------

		Res	sult	
N≌	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)	% of TMI
	Human DNA	10 4,5		
1	Total Bacterial Mass	not detected		
	Transit microorganisms			
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected		
	Commensals			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected		
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected		
	Commensals, sum	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microo	irganisms		
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected		
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected		
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected		
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected		
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected		
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected		
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes			
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected		
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected		
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected		
16	Eubacterium spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haemo	ophilus spp.		
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa /	Ralstonia spp. / Burkholde	eria spp.	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae	spp. / Enterococcus spp.		
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected		
	Yeast-like fungi			
20	Candida spp. *	10 3,3		
	Sexually transmitted infectio	ns		
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected		
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected		
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected		
* Quar	titative Analysis Lg(X) $\ \ ^{**}$ Qualitative Analysis $\ \ ^{***}$ Below threshold			4 5 6 7 8 Lg

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected. DETECTED: Candida spp. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal.

Example	5.	Androflor®	assay
---------	----	------------	-------

		Re	sult	
Nº	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)	% of TMD
	Human DNA	10 55		
1	Total Bacterial Mass	not detected		
	Transit microorganisms			
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected		
	Commensals			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected		
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected		
	Commensals, sum	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microor	ganisms		
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected		
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected		
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected		
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected		
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected		
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected		
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes			
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected		
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected		
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected		
16	Eubacterium spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haemop	ohilus spp.		
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa / R	alstonia spp. / Burkhold	eria spp.	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae s	pp. / Enterococcus spp.		
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected		
	Yeast-like fungi			
20	Candida spp. *	below TH ***		
	Sexually transmitted infection	s		
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected		
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected		
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected		
* Quar	titative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold			4 5 6 7 8 Lg

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold. The bacterial microbiome composition is normal.

WHY IS THERE NO COLOR INDICATION ON THE RESULT FORM?

In example 6, there is no color indication on a report form, as TBM value is <10⁴. At such low TBM values, relative evaluation is not conducted due to calculation inaccuracies associated with specifics of PCR method. The result of this test is valid, because Human DNA indicator is above the threshold value.

It should be noted that in this case normal microbiota is represented by only one group of bacteria — *Corynebacterium spp*. This sample has a low bacterial load (TBM <10⁵), so this is a variant of norm.

		R	esult		
N⁰	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TBM)		% of TBM
	Human DNA	10 45]	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 3,8]		
	Transit micro	organisms	-		
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected			
	Comme	nsals			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected		11 :	
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected			
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,1			
	Commensals, sum	10 3,1			
	BV-associated opportun	istic microorganisms			
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected			
7	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected]		
8	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected			
9	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected]		
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected			
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enteroba	acteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp).		
10	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected			
	Yeast-like	e fungi			
11	Candida spp. *	not detected]		
	Sexually transmit	ted infections		4 1	
12	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected			
13	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected			
14	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected			
15	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected			+ + + >
' Quai	ntitative Analysis Lg(X) $\ \ ^{**}$ Qualitative Analysis $\ \ ^{***}$ Below threshold			4 5 logarit	6 7 8 Lg hmic scale

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

The bacterial microbiome composition is normal.

2. The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal

Disturbance of the balance between normal microbiota and opportunistic microbiota indicates a change in microbiome composition. Depending on the degree of decrease in the normobiota and increase in the proportion of opportunistic microorganisms, the degree of disturbance and the type of proportion of opportunistic microorganisms detected are reported in a conclusion.

ABSOLUTE PREDOMINANCE OF ONE OF THE GROUPS OF NORMAL MICROBIOTA (MICROFLORA)

If normal microbiota is represented by only one group of microorganisms with high enough bacterial load (TBM >10⁵), an imbalance of the microbiota components is concluded (Example 7).

Presence of more than one group of normal microbiota in samples with high bacterial load (TBM) is considered as norm (Example 1).

Prevalence of one genus is not considered as an imbalance in microbiota in the case of low bacterial load (TBM) (Example 6).



Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: the absolute dominance of Corynebacterium spp indicates an imbalance of components of normoflora.

THE BALANCE OF NORMAL AND OPPORTUNISTIC MICROBIOTA (MICROFLORA) IS MODERATELY DISTURBED

WHY DO THE CONCLUSIONS IN THE EXAMPLES BELOW DIFFER? HOW CAN THE «TOTAL MICROORGANISMS DETECTED» (TMD) INDICATOR BE GREATER THAN 100%?

In examples 8 and 9 bacterial load in the biomaterial samples is low (TBM value <10⁵), so the degree of imbalance between normal and opportunistic microbiota is not analyzed.

Example 8 is a sample with low bacterial load (TBM 10^{4,3}). With such low TBM values, it is not possible to correctly «split» TBM into its components due to calculation inaccuracies. It is also important to consider that TBM is determined in a separate tube independently of other parameters in PCR analysis, so minor numerical discrepancies (in the range of method error +/- 0.3 lg) are possible, as in this example. The sample reveals «Commensals, sum» (normal microbiota) of $10^{4,5}$ and «Opportunistic anaerobes, sum» of $10^{4,4}$. The percentages in this case are the same. This is an acceptable numerical inaccuracy. The conclusion indicates opportunistic microorganisms presence.

			Res	sult					
l₽	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)					% of TN
	Human DNA	10 5.9				10 1	00		
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 4,3					1		
	Transit microorga	anisms					1		
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected				h	1		
	Commensal	s				Ľ			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected				1	1		
¥	Streptococcus spp.	10 4,1		-0,2 (54-73%)		Ľ			
5	Corvnebacterium spp.	10 4.2		-0.1 [68-91%]			JI		
	Commensals, sum	10 4.5		0.2 (85-100%)					
	BV-associated opportunistic	microorganisms				li –	i i		
5	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected				Ľ			
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	10 3,1		-1.2 [5-7%]		h	1		
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Eusobacterium spp.	not detected		.,_ (0,		[]	1		
7	Ureanlasma urealvticum *	not detected				1	1		
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected				li -			
1	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected	$\overline{\Box}$			Ľ			
2	Atonobium cluster	not detected					1		
-	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms sum	10 31		-12 [5-7%]	Ē		1		
_	Onnortunistic ana	ernhes		1,E (0 770)		- í	1		
3	Bacteroides son / Pornhyromonas son / Prevotella son	10 4,0		-03 (43-58%)			1		
4	Anaerococcus son	10 3.5		-0,5 (45-30%)		F			
5	Pentostrentococcus spp. / Parvimonas spn	not detected	-	0,0 (10 10/0)	Ē		1		
6	Fubacterium son	10 41		_0 2 [5/_73%]		-			
	Apportunistic apperates sum	10 44		0,2 (0170%)		1	1		
	Opportunistic under obes, sum	Haamonhilus snn		0,1 (03-100/0)			ī		
7	Haemonhilus son	not detected	_			Ľ			
/	Apportunietic microorgonisme Beaudomonos porugi	noca / Paletonia con / Purkl	holda	ria con		1	1		
0	Decudomonas poruginosa / Paletonia con / Purkholdoria con	not dotoctod	noiue	nia spp.					
0	Opportunistic microorganisms Entershacto		cnn			1	1		
0	Enterchasteriagona ann / Entercagona ann	nacede spp. / Linter Ococcus	spp.				1		
9	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. Vooet like fur	not detected				li -	1		
n l	Condido ono *	lyi bolow TU ***				Ľ			
.0	Canulus Spp.	DEIUW IT							
21	Sexually transmittee	Intections				1	1		
21	Mycopiasma genitalium	not detected	늼				1		
2	Inchornonas vaginaiis	not detected	늼				1		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae	not detected	늼						
4	Chiamydia trachomatis	not detected				Щ.,	L-+-		
)uan	titative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold					4	5	6 7 arithmic sor	7 8 L
							iuya	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	116
on	ciusion:								
le	DNA is not detected								

In example 9, low TBM is detected, the proportion of normal microbiota is reduced and the dominant group in the microbiome composition is anaerobic opportunistic microorganisms.

			Re	sult					
N≌	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)				% 01	f TMI
	Human DNA	10 5,4		-		100			
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 4,2							
	Transit microorganisms								
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected				h i			
	Commensals					li i			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected				Ľ			
4	Streptococcus spp.	10 3,4		-0,8 (13-18%)		pι			
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,5		-0,7 (17-23%)					
	Commensals, sum	10 ^{3,8}		-0,4 (30-41%)					
	BV-associated opportunistic micro	organisms				h i			
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	не выявлено				li li			
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	10 3,3		-0,9 (11-14%)		þ'			
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected							
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected							
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected							
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected							
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected							
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	10 3,3		-0.9 [11-14%]					
	Opportunistic anaerobes								
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected				h i			
14	Anaerococcus spp	10 3,7		-0.5 [27-36%]		È i			
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected							
16	Fubacterium son.	10 3,6		-0.6 [21-29%]		Η			
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 4,0		-0.2 [48-65%]					
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haem	ophilus spp.							
17	Haemonhilus son	10 3,8		-0.4 [34-46%]					
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa /	Ralstonia son / Bur	kholde	eria snn					
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Palstonia spn / Burkholderia spn	not detected	Internet						
10	Onnortunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae	son / Enterococcu	s snn	1					
19	Enterohacteriaceae son / Enterococcus son	10 3,4	o opp.	-0.8 [13-18%]					
10	Yeast-like fungi	10		0,0 (10 10/0)	_	Гт			
20	Candida son *	not detected				li i			
20	Sexually transmitted infection	ne	_	1					
21	Myconlasma genitalium **	not detected							
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected	Ē			1 1			
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected							
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected							
Quan	titative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold					4	5 Iogarith	6 7 mic scale	8 Lg

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: opportunistic bacteria are detected (with opportunistic anaerobes predominance).

In example 10, TBM value exceeds 10⁵, the proportion of normal microflora is reduced, thus the degree of microbiota imbalance is estimated. In this case the balance of normal and opportunistic microbiota is moderately disturbed.



STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: the balance of normal and opportunistic microflora is moderately disturbed.

THE BALANCE OF NORMAL AND OPPORTUNISTIC MICROBIOTA (MICROFLORA) IS SEVERELY DISTURBED

If normal microbiota is present in a sample in low quantity and the biotope is dominated by opportunistic microorganisms, it is reported that the balance of normal and opportunistic microbiota is significantly disturbed (Examples 11, 12 and 13).

In example 12, a pathogenic microorganism (*Mycoplasma genitalium*) was also detected in a sample, which is reported in the conclusion along with the information about microbiota imbalance.

			Res	sult				
N≌	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)				% of TME
	Human DNA	10 4,8] 1	10	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 5,4						
	Transit microorganism	S				- 1	1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected				1	1	
	Commensals							
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected						
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected						
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,4		-2,0 (0,8-1,1%)		- 1	1	
	Commensals, sum	10 3,4		-2,0 (0,8-1,1%)		1	1	
	BV-associated opportunistic micr	oorganisms				, i	i	
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	10 5,2		-0,2 (54-73%)	16		<u> </u>	
7	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected				I	I	
8	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected						
9	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected				1	1	
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	10 5,2		-0,2 (54-73%)				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriacea	e spp. / Enterococcu	s spp.					
10	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	10 4,9		-0,5 (27-36%)				
	Yeast-like fungi						1	
11	Candida spp. *	not detected				1	1	
	Sexually transmitted infec	tions				1	1	
12	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected						
13	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected						
14	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected						
15	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected						

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: the balance of normal and opportunistic microflora is severely disturbed (with BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms predominance).

			Re	sult						
N≌	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)						% of TM
	Human DNA	10 4,6				0,1	1	10	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 6,4								
	Transit microorganisms								1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	10 ^{3,2}		-3,2 (<0,1%)					1	
	Commensals							1	i.	
3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 ^{3,9}		-2,5 (0,3-0,4%)				1	-	
4	Streptococcus spp.	10 ^{3,5}		-2,9 (0,1-0,1%)]			1	
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 4,5		-1,9 (1,1-1,4%)						
	Commensals, sum	10 4,6		-1,8 (1,4-2,0%)				1	1	
	BV-associated opportunistic micro	organisms						1	1	
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	не выявлено							1	
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	10 52		-1,2 (5-7%)					1	
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	10 3,5		-2,9 (0,1-0,1%)		1 凸				
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	не выявлено				1 1				
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	не выявлено				1 .		1	1	
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	не выявлено						÷	÷	
12	Atopobium cluster	не выявлено								
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	10 52		-1,2 [5-7%]				. 1		
	Opportunistic anaerobes					1				
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	10 6,1		-0,3 [43-58%]						
14	Anaerococcus spp.	10 4,7		-1,7 [1,7-2,3%]				1	i.	
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	10 4,5		-1,9 [1,1-1,4%]				1		
16	Eubacterium spp.	10 5,1		-1,3 [4-6%]				י נ	1	
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 6,2		-0,2 (50-67%)						
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haem	ophilus spp.						1		
17	Haemophilus spp.	не выявлено				11.		1	1	
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa /	Ralstonia spp. / Burl	khold	eria spp.				÷	÷	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	не выявлено			Π	11'		1	1	
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae	spp. / Enterococcus	s spp.							
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	10 5,9		-0.5 [27-36%]				÷		
	Yeast-like fungi							1	1	
20	Candida spp. *	below TH ***						i.	1	
	Sexually transmitted infection	ons				11.				
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	DETECTED						1		
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	не выявлено						1		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	не выявлено				111		1		
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	не выдвлено	Π			11.		1	1	

Conclusion:

DETECTED: Mycoplasma genitalium.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: STIs DNA is detected, the balance of normal and opportunistic microflora is severely disturbed.

		Re	esult				
Nº	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)				% of TM
	Human DNA	10 5.8		1	10	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 57					
	Transit microorgar	nisms			- 1	1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected			I.	1	
	Commensals				÷	i.	
3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 4,3	-1,2 (5-7%)	_			
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected			I		
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,9	-1,6 [2,1-2,9%]			1	
	Commensals, sum	10 4,4	-1,1 (7-10%)			1	
	BV-associated opportunistic r	microorganisms			I.	1	
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected					
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected			1	1	
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected			Ι	I	
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected			1	1	
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected				1	
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected			i.	i.	
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected					
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected				1	
	Opportunistic anae	robes				1	
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected			1	1	
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected			1	1	
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected			÷		
16	Eubacterium spp.	not detected					
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected					
	Opportunistic microorganisms	Haemophilus spp.			- 1	1	
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected			1	1	
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aerugin	iosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkhold	leria spp.		i.	i	
18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected					
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteri	aceae spp. / Enterococcus spp			I	1	
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	10 57	0,2 (85-100%)				
	Yeast-like fung	gi			- 1	1	
20	Candida spp. *	below TH ***			I.	1	
	Sexually transmitted in	nfections			, i	i.	
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected					
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected			1	1	
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected			1		
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected			. 1		

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: the balance of normal and opportunistic microflora is severely disturbed (with Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. predominance).

SIGNIFICANT DECREASE/ABSENCE OF NORMAL MICROFLORA IS NOT PROPORTIONAL TO THE ABSENCE/SLIGHT INCREASE OF OPPORTUNISTIC MICROFLORA

In example 14, the decrease in normal microbiota is not proportional to the increase in opportunistic microorganisms (OMs). The analysis was performed with Androflor[®] Screen, which is intended for screening and does not include the full range of OMs. At the physician's decision, an additional examination can be performed using the Androflor[®] assay with an extended list of OMs.

Example 14. Androflor® Screen assay Result N⁰ Relative Test title Quantitative % of TBM Lg (X/TBM) 1 10 100 10 52 Human DNA Total Bacterial Mass 10 6,2 1 Transit microorganisms 2 Lactobacillus spp. not detected 1 Commensals 10 3,5 -2,7 [0,2-0,2%] 3 Staphylococcus spp 4 Streptococcus spp. not detected 5 Corynebacterium spp 10 42 -2.0 (0.8-1.1%) Commensals, sum 10 4,3 -1.9 [1.0-1.4%] BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms 1 6 Gardnerella vaginalis not detected T 7 Ureaplasma urealyticum * not detected 8 Т Ureaplasma parvum ' not detected 9 Mycoplasma hominis not detected BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum not detected 1 Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. -0,7 (17-23%) 10 10 5,5 Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp. Yeast-like fungi Π 11 Candida spp. * not detected Sexually transmitted infections 12 Mycoplasma genitalium ** not detected 13 Trichomonas vaginalis ** not detected T 14 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ** not detected 15 Chlamydia trachomatis ** not detected \square 4 5 6 * Quantitative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold 7 8 Lg logarithmic scale

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: decrease/absence of normal microflora is not proportional to the absence/slight increase of opportunistic microflora.

In example 15, a male urethral scrape was analyzed using the Androflor[®] assay. The TBM value of 1047 was obtained, and no microorganisms were detected that are included in the analytes list of the assay. This indicates that some microorganism was present in this sample that is not specifically detected by the Androflor[®] test system. Upon further investigation by sequencing, *Treponema* pallidum was identified.

			Resu	ılt		
Nº	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)		% of TM
	Human DNA	10 4,2			10 100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 47				
	Transit microorganisms	1				
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected				
	Commensals					
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected				
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected				
5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected				
	Commensals, sum	not detected				
	BV-associated opportunistic micro	organisms				
ô	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected				
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected				
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected				
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected				
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected				
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected				
2	Atopobium cluster	not detected				
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected				
	Opportunistic anaerobes	3				
3	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected				
4	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected				
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected				
16	Eubacterium spp.	not detected				
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected				
_	Opportunistic microorganisms Haen	nophilus spp.				
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa /	Ralstonia spp. / Burk	holder	ia spp.		
8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected				
_	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriacea	e spp. / Enterococcus	SDD.			
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected				
	Yeast-like fungi					
20	Candida spp. *	below TH ***				
	Sexually transmitted infecti	ions				
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected				
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected	<u> </u>			
-3	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected	T			
2/1	Chlamydia trachamatic **	not dotoctod				

Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. is below threshold.

The bacterial microbiome composition is abnormal: decrease/absence of normal microflora is not proportional to the absence/slight increase of opportunistic microflora.

STIS' DNA IS DETECTED (OBLIGATE PATHOGENS ARE PRESENT)

In example 16, Human DNA is present while TBM is absent. This result is considered as valid. At the same time, *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Candida spp*. are detected in significant amounts, i.e. the microbiome composition is abnormal.

		Res	ult	
l₽	Test title	Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TMD)	% of TM
	Human DNA	10 5,9		
1	Total Bacterial Mass	not detected		
	Transit micro	oorganisms		
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected		
	Comme	ensals		
5	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected		
ł	Streptococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Corynebacterium spp.	not detected		
	Commensals, sum	not detected		
	BV-associated opportur	nistic microorganisms		
ò	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected		
	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected		
3	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected		
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected		
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected		
1	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected		
2	Atopobium cluster	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic	anaerobes		
3	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp	p. not detected		
4	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected		
6	Eubacterium spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorgar	nisms Haemophilus spp.		
7	Haemophilus spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas a	eruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholde	eria spp.	
8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia	a spp. not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterob	acteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.		
9	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected		
	Yeast-lik	e fungi		
0	Candida spp. *	10 5.2		
	Sexually transmi	tted infections		
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	DETECTED		
2	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected		
4	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected		
luar	titative Analysis Lg(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Below threshold			4 5 6 7 8 L logarithmic scale
on	clusion:			
ΞT	ECTED: Mycoplasma genitalium.			

3. Cases when no conclusion is issued

INSUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF BIOMATERIAL: TBM AND HUMAN DNA ARE BOTH NOT DETECTED OR BELOW THRESHOLD

			Result							
Nº	Test title	Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)					% of '	ΓM
	Human DNA	not detected				100				
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 3,4								
	Transit microorganisms									
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected								
	Commensals					-Li-				
3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 32		-2,2 (54-73%)		Ρ'				
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected								
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 ^{3,2}		-0,2 (54-73%)		þ				
	Commensals, sum	10 3.5		0,1 (85-100%)						
	BV-associated opportunistic micro	organisms								
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected								
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected				11				
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected								
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected								
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	10 3,4				Þ				
1	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected								
2	Atopobium cluster	not detected				1'				
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected								
	Opportunistic anaerobes					1				
3	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected								
4	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected								
5	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected								
6	Eubacterium spp.	not detected								
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected								
	Opportunistic microorganisms Haem	ophilus spp.								
7	Haemophilus spp.	not detected								
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginosa /	Ralstonia spp. / Bur	kholde	eria sop.						
8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected				11				
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriaceae	spp. / Enterococcus	s son.							
9	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected								
0	Yeast-like fungi	not dottottod		1						
'n	Candida son *	helow TH ***								
	Sexually transmitted infection	ons								
71	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected	Π							
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected								
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected								
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected	$\overline{\Box}$							
112				I		4	5	6	7 8	
Judi	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang						loga	arithmic	scale	-

EXCEEDING THE THRESHOLD VALUES OF TRANSIENT MICROBIOTA (MICROFLORA)

In cases when transient microbiota accounts for more than 10% of the bacterial load of a biotope, a conclusion on microbiome composition is not issued. At a physician's decision, it is possible to repeat biomaterial sampling under the condition of protected sexual contacts or sexual abstinence for 3 days.



Conclusion:

STIs DNA is not detected.

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

Exceeding the threshold values of transient microflora does not allow to make a correct conclusion about the real composition of the bacterial microbiome belong to patient. Microflora e.g. BV-associated bacteria, can be the cause of acute inflammation of the lower parts of the urogenital tract. The result of the study directly depends on compliance with the rules of preparation for the collection of biomaterial.

In example 19, Gardnerella vaginalis was also detected in significant numbers, in addition to Lactobacillus spp., despite meeting all pre-analytical requirements for repeated biomaterial sampling. In this case, Lactobacillus spp. and Gardnerella vaginalis are predominant components of a male patient's microbiota and may be a cause of acute inflammation in the lower urogenital tract [1].

	P Test title	Result							
Nº		Quantitative		Relative Lg (X/TMD)					% of TMI
	Human DNA	10 5.7				1	10	100	
1	Total Bacterial Mass	10 5,5							
	Transit microorganisr	ns					1	1	
2	Lactobacillus spp.	10 5,6		0,1 (85-100%)					
	Commensals						i	i.	
3	Staphylococcus spp.	10 3,9		-1,6 (2,1-2,9%)] [
4	Streptococcus spp.	10 3,6		-1,9 (1,1-1,4%)		\square			
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 ^{3,8}		-1,7 (1,7-2,3%)		Þ	1		
	Commensals, sum	10 4,3		-1,2 (5-7%)					
	BV-associated opportunistic mid	croorganisms					1	1	
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	10 5,4		-0,1 (68-91%)		Ľ,			
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected					1	1	
8	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected							
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected							
10	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected					1	1	
11	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected					i.	, I	
12	Atopobium cluster	not detected							
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	10 5,4		-0,1 (68-91%)					
	Opportunistic anaerol	Des							
13	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected					1		
14	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected					1	1	
15	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected					i.	i.	
16	Eubacterium spp.	10 4.8		-0,7 (17-23%)					
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	10 4.8		-0,7 (17-23%)					
	Opportunistic microorganisms Ha	emophilus spp.							
17	Haemophilus spp.	not detected					1	1	
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginos	a / Ralstonia spp. / Burkh	nolde	eria spp.			1	1	
8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected					i.		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteriac	eae spp. / Enterococcus :	spp.				1		
19	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected							
	Yeast-like fungi						1		
20	Candida spp. *	not detected							
	Sexually transmitted infe	ctions					1	1	
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected						1	
22	Trichomonas vaginalis **	not detected					1		
23	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected							
24	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected					, L ,		
Duar	titative Analysis I n(X) ** Qualitative Analysis *** Relow threshold						4 5	6	7 8 1

Candida spp. DNA is not detected.

Exceeding the threshold values of transient microflora does not allow to make a correct conclusion about the real composition of the bacterial microbiome belong to patient. Microflora e.g. BV-associated bacteria, can be the cause of acute inflammation of the lower parts of the urogenital tract. The result of the study directly depends on compliance with the rules of preparation for the collection of biomaterial.

[1] Pochernikov DG, Postovoytenko NT, Getman VV, Galkina IS. Diagnostic significance of Lactobacillus spp. identification in ejaculate. Bulletin of RSMU, 2020, 3. DOI: 10.24075/vrgmu.2020.039

WILL OBLIGATE PATHOGENS BE DETECTED, IF HUMAN DNA AND TBM ARE NOT DETECTED?

Even if TBM and Human DNA are both below the threshold values, or if transient microflora is present at more than 10% of TBM, information on the detected obligate pathogens will be reported in the automated conclusion. The microbiome composition will not be analyzed (Example 20).

	? Test title	R		
Nº		Quantitative	Relative Lg (X/TBM)	% of TE
	Human DNA	not detected		
1	Total Bacterial Mass	not detected		
	Transit microorganis	ms		
2	Lactobacillus spp.	not detected		
	Commensals			
3	Staphylococcus spp.	not detected		
4	Streptococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Corynebacterium spp.	10 3,3		
	Commensals, sum	10 3,3		
	BV-associated opportunistic mi	icroorganisms		
6	Gardnerella vaginalis	not detected		
7	Megasphaera spp. / Veillonella spp. / Dialister spp.	not detected		
3	Sneathia spp. / Leptotrichia spp. / Fusobacterium spp.	not detected		
9	Ureaplasma urealyticum *	not detected		
0	Ureaplasma parvum *	not detected		
1	Mycoplasma hominis *	not detected]	
2	Atopobium cluster	not detected		
	BV-associated opportunistic microorganisms, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaero	bes		
3	Bacteroides spp. / Porphyromonas spp. / Prevotella spp.	not detected		
4	Anaerococcus spp.	not detected		
5	Peptostreptococcus spp. / Parvimonas spp.	not detected		
6	Eubacterium spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic anaerobes, sum	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Ha	aemophilus spp.		
7	Haemophilus spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Pseudomonas aeruginos	sa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkhol	lderia spp.	
8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa / Ralstonia spp. / Burkholderia spp.	not detected		
	Opportunistic microorganisms Enterobacteria	ceae spp. / Enterococcus sp	р	
9	Enterobacteriaceae spp. / Enterococcus spp.	not detected		
	Yeast-like fungi			
0	Candida spp. *	below TH ***		
	Sexually transmitted inf	ections	-	
21	Mycoplasma genitalium **	not detected		
2	Trichomonas vaginalis **	DETECTED		
3	Neisseria gonorrhoeae **	not detected		
4	Chlamydia trachomatis **	not detected]	

DETECTED: Trichomonas vaginalis.



